United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,665	07/28/2003	Kuen-Chu Lai	U 014733-1	5233
7590 05/08/2007 Ladas & Parry		EXAMINER		
26 West 61st Street			RIYAMI, ABDULLA A	
New York, NY 10023		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2609	
	,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/628,665	LAI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Abdullah Riyami	2609			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			min			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ulv 2003. SUPERVIS	DANG I. TON SORY PATENT EXAMINER			
2a)□		action is non-final.	The state of the s			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	·				
5) 6) 7) 8)	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction.					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/628,665 Page 2

Art Unit: 2609

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because for figure 1 descriptive legend are needed for the packet extractors and the hubs for clarity. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheets" and must be presented in the

Art Unit: 2609

amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)(1). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 - 8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 because of the following 3. informalities:

In claim1 lines 3 and 4, the occurrence of "contents of a packet" seems to refer back to "contents of a packet" in line 2. If this is true, it is suggested to change "contents of a packet" to -the contents of the packet --.

In claim 1 lines 10, 14 and 18, the occurrence of "third hub" seems to refer back to "a third hub" in line 7. If this is true, it is suggested to change "third hub" to said third hub--.

In claim 8 line 6, the occurrence of "say first hub" seems to refer back to "first hub" in line 2. If this is true, it is suggested to change "say third hub" to --said first hub—.

In claim 8 line 7, it is suggested to remove "equips with".

Claims 2-7 are objected since they depend from claim 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2609

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section applicant for patent, except that an international application of an application filed in the United States 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tosey (US 6,392,990 B1).

In claim 1, Tosey discloses a device for observing variations of network packets, comprising: a first I/O observer device (see column 4, line 55 – 58, and see figure 3) for analyzing the contents of a packet (see column3, line 28-31); a second I/O observer device (see column 4, line 55 – 58) for analyzing the contents of a packet (see column3, line 28-31); a third I/O observer device (see column 5, lines 13-15 and column 10, lines 13-17) for analyzing the contents of a packet (see column3, lines 28-31);

a first hub for transmitting the packets (see column 5, lines 15- 19 and figure3); a second hub for transmitting the packets (see column 5, lines 15- 19); a third hub for transmitting the packets (see column 5, lines 15- 19 and column 10, lines 13- 17);

Art Unit: 2609

a first packet extractor (see column 5, lines 15- 19 and figure3) having a packet outputting end and a packet receiving end, wherein the packet receiving end of the first packet extractor is connected to the first hub, the second hub, and the third hub, and the packet outputting end of the first packet extractor is connected to the first I/O observer device; a second packet extractor (see column 5, lines 15- 19) having a packet outputting end and a packet receiving end, wherein the packet receiving end of the second packet extractor is connected to the first hub, the second hub, and the third hub, and the packet outputting end of the second packet extractor is connected to the second I/O observer device; and a third packet extractor (see column 5, lines 15- 19 and column 10, lines 13-17); having a packet outputting end and a packet receiving end, wherein the packet receiving end of the third packet extractor is connected to the first hub, the second hub, and the third hub, and the packet outputting end of the third packet extractor is connected to the first hub, the second hub, and the third hub, and the packet outputting end of the third packet extractor is connected to the third hub, and the packet outputting end of the third packet extractor is connected to the third hub, and the packet outputting end of the third packet extractor is

In claim 2, Tosey discloses the device, wherein the first I/O observer device is a personal computer (see column 4, lines 41-44).

In claim 3, Tosey discloses the device, wherein the second I/O observer device is a personal computer (see column 4, lines 41-44).

In claim 4, Tosey discloses the device, wherein the third I/O observer device is a personal computer (see column 4, lines 41-44 and column 5, lines13-15).

In claim 5, Tosey discloses the device, wherein the first packet extractor comprises three network interface cards (see column 10, lines 13-17)

Art Unit: 2609

respectively connected to the first hub, the second hub, and the third hub (see column 5, lines 15- 19 and column 10, lines 13-17).

In claim 6, Tosey discloses the device, wherein the second packet extractor comprises three network interface cards (see column 10, lines 13-17) respectively connected to the first hub, the second hub, and the third hub (see column 5, lines 15- 19 and column 10, lines 13-17).

In claim 7, Tosey discloses the device, wherein the third packet extractor comprises three network interface cards (see column 10, lines 13-17) respectively connected to the first hub, the second hub, and the third hub (see column 5, lines 15- 19 and column 10, lines 13-17).

In claim 8, Tosey discloses a device for observing network packets, comprising a first hub (see column 5, lines 15- 19 and figure3); a second hub (see column 5, lines 15- 19); a third hub (see column 5, lines 15- 19 and column 10, lines 13-17); a first personal computer (see column 4, lines 41-44 and figure 3) provided with at least three network interface cards (see column 10, lines 13-17) respectively connected to the first hub, the second hub, and the third hub; a second personal computer (see column 4 lines 41-44) provided with at least three network interface cards (see column 10, lines 13-17) respectively connected to the first hub, the second hub, and the third hub; and a third personal computer (see column 4, lines 41-44 and column 5, lines13-15) provided with at least three network interface cards (see column 10, lines 13-17) respectively connected to the first hub, the second hub, and the third hub.

Art Unit: 2609

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Restorer et al. (US 5,802,287), Bennett (US 5,742,602), Gang Jr. (US 4,897,841) and Gross (US/2002/0176367 A1) are recited to show a device for observing network packets.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdullah Riyami whose telephone number is (571) 270-3119. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dang Ton can be reached on (571) 272-3171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2609

AR

DANG T. TON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER